

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.mpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/912,448	07/26/2001	Charles L. Wilson	0145.00	4447
25295 75	90 08/26/2003			
USDA, ARS, OTT 5601 SUNNYSIDE AVE RM 4-1159			EXAMINER	
			WEINSTEIN, STEVEN L	
BELTSVILLE, MD 20705-5131			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761 DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	AS-8	
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s) WILSON STAL Group Art Unit 1761	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears			
P riod for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default,</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailiterm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	ply within the statutory mini expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro tte, cause the application to	mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. b become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on	96/03		
This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	•	•	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935.	for formal matters, <b>pros</b> C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	secution as to the merits is closed in	
Disposition of Claims			
(2) Claim(s) /- 2 0	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)			
□ Claim(s)	·	is/are allowed.	
© Claim(s) 1-20	is/are rejected.		
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.	
□ Claim(s)			
Application Papers  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved [	requirement  ☐ disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ed to by the Examiner		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)			
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-	–(d).	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:		•	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re	ceived.		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re-	ceived in Application No	o	
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
*Certified copies not received:		·	
Atta hment(s)			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(	s) 🗆 In	☐ Int rvi w Summary, PTO-413	
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	□ No	☐ Notice of Informal Pat nt Application, PTO-152	
☐ Notic of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ <b>O</b> t	□ Oth r	
Office Ac	tion Summary		

Application/Control Number: 09/912,448

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 10-13 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Atsumi et al( JP 200217509 Ref. N) for the reasons given in the Office Action mailed 12/04/02, Paper No. 6.

Claims 3, 6-9, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Atsumi et al in view of Takahashi (6,352,727) for the reasons given in the Office action mailed December 4, 2002, Paper No. 6.

Applicants' remarks filed June 6, 2003, Paper No. 7 have been fully and carefully considered but are not found to be convincing. Contrary to what is apparently being urged, the reference does not have to read word-for-word the same as the claim for anticipation. It is urged that Atsumi does not specifically mention that his composition is an antifungal agent; only that it is an antibacterial agent. Whether the composition is an antifungal agent or not is a property or capability of that composition. Atsumi discloses a composition that is to be added to produce and contains both of applicants constituents-chitosan and hinokitiol. Applicant's specification does not disclose that the antibacterial and antifungal properties only occur in certain narrow limits. In fact, just the opposite appears to be true. Thus, in the absence of any proven criticality to the contrary, it appears that providing chitosan and hinokitiol in an anti-microbial amount also inherently has at least some degree of antifugal activity. Note that the claims are silent as to any degree of antibacterial or anti-fungal activity, and thus are readable on the most minimal degree of activity. It is noted that the amendment urges that the synergistically effective amount is a "critical" element, but, as noted above, this 'critical" amount is not disclosed, let alone claimed. On page 3 of the amendment, it is apparently urged that the amounts of the chitosan and essential Application/Control Number: 09/912,448

Art Unit: 1761

oil in the invention are different from Atsumi. It is not clear what is the source for the ranges argued. In fact, the only disclosed range in applicants' specification appears to occur on page 9, wherein it is stated that "advantageously" the essential oils and/or chitosan salts can be used in amounts ranging from about 3000 ppm to about 10 ppm based on the total weight of the food product. Atsumi discloses the weight percent based on the carrier, not on the product so that the amount of hinokitiol and chitosan based on the total weight of the food product would be smaller than those numbers quoted by applicants.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Steven Weinstein whose telephone number is (703) 308-0650. The examiner can generally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (703) 308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 09/912,448

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4

organization where this application is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

S. Weinstein/dh August 19, 2003

STEVE WEINSTEIN

PRIMARY EXAMINER

8/26/03